

- 2 I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, and L. Rodman. *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic, New York, 1982.
- 3 ———, Representations and divisibility of operator polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* 30:1045–1069 (1978).
- 4 I. Gohberg, L. Lerer, and L. Rodman, Stable factorization of operator polynomials. I. Spectral divisors simply behaved at infinity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 74:401–431 (1980).
- 5 P. Lancaster, A review of some recent results concerning factorization of matrix operator valued functions, in *Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* (S. P. Singh and J. H. Burry, Eds.), Marcel Dekker, 1982, pp. 117–139.
- 6 V. Kabak, A. S. Markus, and V. I. Mereuca, On a connection between spectral properties of a polynomial operator bundle and its divisors (in Russian), in *Stinca, Kishinev*, 1977, pp. 29–57.
- 7 J. Maroulas, Factorization of matrix polynomials with multiple roots, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 69:9–32 (1985).
- 8 I. Mereuca, The factorization of a polynomial operator pencil (in Russian), *Mat. Issled.* 45:115–124 (1977); MR 58#2391.
- 9 F. Stummel, Discrete konvergent linearen Operatoren II, *Math. Z.* 120:231–264 (1971).

## ON THE EIGENVALUES OF STOCHASTIC MATRICES

by M. DA GRAÇA MARQUES<sup>6</sup> and G. N. DE OLIVEIRA<sup>7</sup>

Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with nonnegative entries.  $A$  is said to be  $\omega$ -stochastic if, for every  $i$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \omega$ . When  $\omega = 1$  we call it stochastic.

In 1949 Suleimanova [4] posed the following problem. Given  $n$  complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , find a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an  $n \times n$  stochastic matrix with  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  as eigenvalues. Some partial results on this question are known today, but the problem remains unsolved for  $n > 3$ . In particular the knowledge of sufficient conditions is very poor when not all of the  $\lambda_i$ 's are real. The so called  $\mathcal{L}$ -transformation developed in [3] seems to be a useful tool for tackling this problem.

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix (not necessarily stochastic), and let  $C, X, T$  be  $k \times k, n \times k, (n+k) \times (n+k)$  matrices respectively with  $T$  invertible. We

<sup>6</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Lisboa, R. Ernesto de Vasconcelos, 1700 Lisboa, Portugal.

<sup>7</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Coimbra, Apt 3008, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal.

define  $\mathcal{L}_T^{(x)}(A)$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{(x)}(A) = T \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}.$$

It is clear that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_T^{(x)}(A)$  are those of  $A$  together with those of  $C$ .

Since we do not have space for details, we show with an example how this transformation can be used for constructing  $\omega$ -stochastic matrices with prescribed eigenvalues. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is 5-stochastic with eigenvalues 5 and 2. We construct now a 5-stochastic matrix with eigenvalues 5, 2,  $1+i$ ,  $1-i$ . Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of  $C$  are  $1 \pm i$ . Now take

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{(x)}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a 5-stochastic matrix with the required eigenvalues.

Of course, in proving theorems with the  $\mathcal{L}$ -transformation we can iterate, and the crucial problem in each step is the choice of  $X$  and  $T$ . Notice that in the above example, we took as  $T$  a matrix which can be regarded as obtained from the identity matrix by adding certain rows to other rows. It is easy to invert matrices of this type.

Also, of course, the  $\mathcal{L}$ -transformation can be combined with other results in order to obtain new sufficient conditions. As an example we present Theorem 3 below. For the proof of Theorem 3 we need the following theorems of M. Fiedler.

**THEOREM 1 [1].** *Let  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ ,  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  ( $\geq 0$ ) satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^s a_i, \quad s = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i,$$

$$\lambda_k \leq a_{k-1}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

*Then there exists a nonnegative symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and diagonal entries  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .*

**THEOREM 2 [2].** *Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  satisfy*

$$a_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$a_1 = \max a_i,$$

$$\lambda_j \leq a_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i,$$

*Then there exists a nonnegative symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and diagonal entries  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be real numbers and  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  complex numbers. Assume  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a set of real numbers satisfying the conditions*

of either Theorem 1 or Theorem 2. Let  $z_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j$ . If there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

- (1) if  $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ,  $2|\beta_j| + \alpha_j \leq a_{\sigma(j)}$ ,
- (2) if  $\alpha_j < 0$ ,  $2\max\{|\alpha_j|, |\beta_j|\} \leq a_{\sigma(j)}$ ,

then there is an  $(n+2k)$ -square  $\lambda_1$ -stochastic matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, z_1, \dots, z_k, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_k$ .

*Sketch of the proof.* By Theorem 1 or 2 there is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and diagonal elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . This implies the existence of an  $n \times n$   $\lambda_1$ -stochastic matrix with the same eigenvalues and diagonal elements. Call it  $A$ . Now starting with  $A$  and using successively the  $\mathcal{L}$ -transformation making use of conditions (1) and (2), we can construct an  $(n+2k)$ -square  $\lambda_1$ -stochastic matrix with the required eigenvalues.

## REFERENCES

- 1 M. Fiedler, Eigenvalue of nonnegative symmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 9:119–142 (1979).
- 2 R. Loewy and D. London, A note on an inverse problem for nonnegative matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 6:83–90 (1978).
- 3 G. N. de Oliveira, Sobre Matrices Estocásticas e Duplamente Estocásticas, Doctoral Dissertation, Coimbra, 1968.
- 4 H. Suleimanova, Stochastic matrices with real characteristic roots, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 63:343–345 (1949).

## PARALLEL TRIANGULARIZATION OF A SPARSE MATRIX ON A DISTRIBUTED-MEMORY MULTIPROCESSOR USING FAST GIVENS ROTATIONS

by J. DUATO<sup>8</sup>

### 1. Introduction

Many applications require the solution of a least-squares (LS) problem from a coefficient matrix and a measurement vector. In some cases the solution must be obtained within a short period of time, requiring great

<sup>8</sup>Dept. de Ingeniería de Sistemas, Computadores y Automática, Facultad de Informática, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, P.O.B. 22012, 46071-Valencia, Spain.